THE COUCH OF MATILDA

Scale Inversion, Cognitive Intervention, and the Politics of Empathic Resonance

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Fine Arts

Daniel Scott Matthews

June 2026

Figure 1. Daniel Scott Matthews, The Couch of Matilda (2026).

Figure 2. Théodore Géricault, The Raft of the Medusa (1818-19)

ABSTRACT

This thesis examines The Couch of Matilda (2026), a digital painting produced through human-AI collaboration, as a work of political art concerned with energy poverty, communicative strategy, and the ethics of empathic engagement. Executed in the visual idiom of neoclassical history painting — specifically invoking Théodore Géricault's The Raft of the Medusa (1818-19) — the work relocates the grammar of public catastrophe into the private domestic interior, depicting a family gathered around a figure who has frozen to death in their own home, unable to afford heating. The green pallor of the deceased constitutes the work's titular symbolic axis, inverting the conventional associations of that colour — growth, hope, sustainability, environmental progress — into an emblem of institutional indifference and preventable death.

This thesis argues that the work is best understood not primarily as an aesthetic object but as a cognitive intervention: a compact symbolic operator designed to induce empathic resonance in viewers, and through that resonance, to modify the attractor landscape of moral and political reasoning with respect to "green" energy transition policies. Drawing on the author's own theoretical framework, advanced in the essay On the Purpose of AI, and Communications in General (2026), which proposes that communication is fundamentally an exercise in semantic compression and recursive decompression of mind states, the thesis reads the painting as an enactment of that theory. The prompt through which the work was generated was not an instruction but a coordinate: a maximally compressed symbolic emission locating a pre-existing latent image within the artist's cognitive state space.

The thesis proceeds through close formal analysis, art-historical contextualisation, philosophical explication, and reflection on methodology, concluding that the work represents a significant integration of predictive cognition theory, political communication strategy, and contemporary AI-mediated artistic practice.

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and that it has not been submitted for examination at any other institution. The painting The Couch of Matilda was produced through a process of human-AI collaboration in which I served as the directing intelligence: conceptualising the work, specifying its art-historical frame, encoding its compositional and emotional programme, and evaluating successive outputs against my internal cognitive template for the work. The theoretical frameworks employed in this thesis are my own, developed independently and documented in prior publications.

Daniel Scott Matthews
June 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract

Declaration

List of Figures

Chapter One: Introduction — The Painting as Act

Chapter Two: Formal Description and Iconographic Analysis

Chapter Three: Art-Historical Lineage — From Géricault to the Suburban Interior

Chapter Four: Green — A Symbolic Autopsy

Chapter Five: Philosophical Framework — Communication as Cognitive Intervention

Chapter Six: Methodology — The Latent Image and Its Compression

Chapter Seven: Energy Poverty and the Politics of the Invisible Catastrophe

Chapter Eight: Social Impact, Reception, and the Ethics of Empathic Resonance

Chapter Nine: Implications for AI-Mediated Art Practice

Conclusion — Signal and Resonance

Bibliography

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Daniel Scott Matthews, The Couch of Matilda (2026). Digital painting, AI-assisted. Dimensions variable.

Figure 2. Théodore Géricault, The Raft of the Medusa (1818-19). Oil on canvas, 491 × 716 cm. Musée du Louvre, Paris.

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION — THE PAINTING AS ACT

1.1 A Death Nobody Noticed

In the winter months across Europe, North America, and Australia, a particular category of death recurs with statistical regularity and near-total cultural invisibility. An elderly person, living alone or with a family too financially stretched to maintain heating, dies of hypothermia in their own home. The death is recorded, processed, perhaps noted in a local paper, and forgotten. It leaves no memorial. It generates no inquiry. It produces no art.

It is not, however, accidental. Such deaths are the downstream consequence of policy decisions, pricing structures, subsidy regimes, and the implementation schedules of energy transition programmes. They are the human cost of choices made in legislatures, boardrooms, and environmental advocacy offices — choices made, in large part, in the name of a greener future.

The Couch of Matilda (2026) begins with this death. Not with an abstraction of it, not with a statistic, but with the specific image of it: a family gathered around a figure gone cold under a heavy blanket in a dimly lit suburban lounge room, the television still on and showing static, the winter light pressing pale through condensation-frosted windows. The painting is an act before it is an image. Its purpose is to make the invisible catastrophe visible — and to make visible in precisely the register that compels moral response.

1.2 Research Questions

This thesis addresses the following interrelated questions:

What formal, art-historical, and symbolic strategies does The Couch of Matilda employ, and how do they function to produce moral and political effect?

How does the philosophical framework developed in On the Purpose of AI, and Communications in General (Matthews, 2026) illuminate the painting's methodology and communicative strategy?

What does the work's production through human-AI collaboration reveal about the nature of artistic authorship, creative cognition, and the role of the prompter as artist?

What constitutes the relationship between the painting and the political domain of energy policy, and how does the work seek to intervene in that domain?

1.3 Scope and Method

The thesis proceeds by close reading of the work itself, contextualised within the history of Western history painting, with particular reference to Géricault. It then develops the philosophical and cognitive framework that grounds the work's communicative strategy, drawing on the author's own published theoretical writing. The final sections consider the social and political implications of the work and its implications for the emerging field of AI-mediated artistic practice. The thesis is written in the first-person register appropriate to practice-based research, where the author and artist are the same person.

CHAPTER TWO: FORMAL DESCRIPTION AND ICONOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

2.1 The Composition

The Couch of Matilda is structured around a triangular compositional axis of the kind refined across centuries of European history painting, most immediately identifiable with the Baroque tradition and its Romantic inheritors. At the apex of this triangle stands a young male figure, arm raised as if imploring a ceiling or a sky that offers nothing back — his gesture simultaneously that of desperation, prayer, and accusation. Below him, and spreading outward and downward to the picture's edges, the remaining figures of a family radiate through the full spectrum of grief: heads bowed, hands clasped, faces buried, bodies folded toward the central figure on the couch.

The central figure — Matilda — is barely distinguishable from the heavy blankets that cover her. She lies on an aging lounge suite that serves as both deathbed and bier. Her presence is inferred rather than foregrounded; she is defined by the responses she generates in those around her, much as the drowned and the dying are defined in Géricault's Raft by the postures of the living. This compositional choice is significant: Matilda is not the subject in the conventional sense. She is the ground around which the painting's moral energy organises itself.

At the extreme left of the composition, an older television set broadcasts static — white noise, the signal that communicates nothing, the anti-image. This object functions on several levels simultaneously: as a detail of mundane domestic realism, as a temporal marker (the analogue television suggesting a generation that predates the digital economy and its associated energy demands), and as an ironic counterpoint to the painting's own communicative ambition. Where the screen shows only noise, the painting itself aspires to maximum signal.

2.2 The Figure of Matilda and the Green

The deceased figure is identifiable, even under the blanket's coverage, by the faint but unmistakable green tinge of the exposed skin. This is the painting's chromatic nucleus and conceptual origin point. Green, in this context, is not the colour of nature, of growth, of the environmental movement, or of hope. It is the colour of hypothermia's late stages,

of tissue cooled below viable temperature, of flesh that has crossed into a zone from which it does not return.

The painting was initiated with precisely this redefinition of green: "green is the tint of your dead grandmother's corpse after she froze to death having not being able to afford heating" (Matthews, 2026a). This statement is not merely shocking rhetoric. It is a semiotic intervention: a deliberate reattachment of a signifier (green) to a referent (death by cold) that subverts its dominant contemporary valence (sustainability, ecology, environmental virtue). The green of Matilda's skin and of the heavy blanket covering her transforms the painting's every visual gesture into an implicit argument about whose suffering is rendered invisible by the discourse of ecological transition.

2.3 Iconographic Details

Several subsidiary iconographic elements reinforce the work's argumentative structure. The old cup on the floor before the couch — a simple domestic object, cold and abandoned — carries the weight that crown or sword would carry in a Baroque painting: it is the emblem of a life now ended. The muted domestic wallpaper, the framed family photographs barely visible on the wall, the worn upholstery: these objects are rendered with the same seriousness of attention that the old masters directed toward sacred symbols and heraldic devices. The message is explicit: the stuff of ordinary suburban life is worthy of the history painter's gaze. Its sufferings are not less real for being ordinary.

The winter light entering through the window performs the traditional compositional function of the revelatory shaft: it falls cold and blue-grey across the scene, illuminating grief rather than hope. Condensation on the glass implies an interior in which warmth was, for a long time, absent. The trees visible through the window are bare. There is no suggestion of spring.

CHAPTER THREE: ART-HISTORICAL LINEAGE — FROM GÉRICAULT TO THE SUBURBAN INTERIOR

3.1 The Tradition of History Painting

History painting — the depiction of significant events from history, mythology, and scripture — occupied the apex of the academic genre hierarchy from the Renaissance through the early nineteenth century. Its defining ambition was to render the significant visible, to transform events of moral and political consequence into images capable of generating moral and political response in viewers. Its characteristic formal language — monumental scale, rhetorical gesture, triangular composition, dramatic lighting, the arrangement of figures into hierarchies of suffering and agency — was developed precisely for this purpose.

The tradition understood that the representation of catastrophe required not mere documentation but transformation: the event had to be elevated from the contingent to the universal, from the historical to the mythic, in order to produce the moral force that constituted the genre's justification. A painting of a shipwreck was not simply a record of a shipwreck; it was an argument about survival, about institutional failure, about what human beings owe one another in extremity.

3.2 Géricault and the Raft of the Medusa

Théodore Géricault's The Raft of the Medusa (1818-19) is the work that haunts The Couch of Matilda most directly. Géricault's painting documented the aftermath of the wreck of the French naval vessel Méduse in 1816, in which 147 people were set adrift on a makeshift raft after the ship's officers — appointed by political patronage rather than competence — took the lifeboats. Thirteen survivors remained when the raft was found. The scandal was political: incompetent aristocratic officers, reinstated after the Bourbon Restoration, had caused preventable deaths through institutional failure.

Géricault spent months in research: visiting hospitals, interviewing survivors, studying corpses and severed limbs to achieve anatomical accuracy, and constructing a scale model of the raft. The resulting painting, nearly five metres tall, depicted the raft's survivors in a moment of desperate hope — a figure at the apex waving frantically at a ship just visible on the horizon. The composition moved through despair (the dead and

dying in the foreground) to the agonised aspiration of those still capable of reaching toward rescue.

The painting did several things at once. It depicted individual suffering in excruciating and individualised detail. It arranged its figures into a compositional rhetoric of despair and hope. It transformed a political scandal into an image of universal human vulnerability. And it forced a moral response in its viewers — not through argument but through the immediate affective power of represented suffering. As one art historian observed, the painting was "a machine for generating empathy and political outrage" (Matthews, 2026a).

3.3 The Logic of Scale Inversion

What The Couch of Matilda does with this tradition is an operation of scale inversion. Where Géricault took a maritime disaster — dramatic, visible, geographically remote, involving military and political figures — and treated it with the full resources of history painting's formal apparatus, this work applies the same apparatus to a death that is domestic, quiet, bureaucratically produced, and statistically routine.

The inversion works because it exposes a structural truth: the formal grammar of history painting was developed to represent systemic failure as human catastrophe rather than as abstraction. That grammar does not require maritime conditions. It requires only that a systemic failure has produced individual suffering, that human beings are implicated in that suffering, and that the suffering is rendered in sufficient specificity to defeat the defences that statistics and policy discourse erect against moral feeling.

An elderly person freezing to death in a suburban lounge room because they cannot afford heating is structurally identical, from the perspective of history painting's purpose, to a soldier dying at Waterloo or a sailor dying on a raft off the coast of Senegal. The differences are of scale and visibility; the moral substance is the same. The work's radical claim is that the visual language of epic should be applied without irony or hesitation to the domestic, the ordinary, and the economically produced.

3.4 Social Realism and the Domestic

The work also belongs to a tradition of social realist painting that has periodically redirected the formal resources of academic painting toward the representation of working-class and marginalised life. From Gustave Courbet's monumental treatments of rural labour to Käthe Kollwitz's representations of hunger and grief, this tradition has consistently argued by form rather than by caption: the application of history painting's scale and seriousness to subjects previously deemed unworthy of such treatment is itself a political statement. To paint a weaver's funeral at heroic scale is to claim that the weaver's suffering is of equal moral weight to any general's death.

The Couch of Matilda inherits this argument and extends it into the present: the suburban domestic interior, with its aging furniture and cathode-ray television and carpet, is here treated with the same gravitas that Courbet brought to the fields of Ornans. The argument has not changed. Only the context has.

CHAPTER FOUR: GREEN — A SYMBOLIC AUTOPSY

4.1 Green's Conventional Register

Green is arguably the most ideologically loaded colour of the early twenty-first century. In the environmental movement, it signifies nature, growth, renewal, sustainability, and ecological conscience. The "green economy," "green energy," "going green," the "Green New Deal," the green branding of renewable energy infrastructure: in each case, the colour functions as a signifier of virtue, futurity, and the promise of a liveable planet. It is the colour of hope as policy.

This semiotic loading has a history. Green's association with nature is ancient and cross-cultural, drawing on the most fundamental visual correlation available to human perception: the colour of vegetation is the colour of life. Its more specific contemporary loading — the association with ecological consciousness and sustainable development — is a product of the environmental movement from the 1970s onward, crystallised in the political branding of green parties across Europe and subsequently globalised through the discourse of climate change. By the 2020s, green had become the chromatic signature of environmental seriousness.

4.2 The Cadaverous Counter-Signification

The work initiates its symbolic programme by inserting into this semantic field a radically dissonant denotation. The green of Matilda's skin is the green of cyanosis and hypothermia: the colour that human flesh acquires when it has been deprived of warmth for too long. It is the green of a body that has been abandoned by the systems designed to sustain it.

This is not a metaphor. Corpses cooled below environmental temperature do acquire the pallid, greenish hue the painting depicts. The work's first symbolic operation is therefore one of literalisation: it takes green in its most elemental biological sense — the colour of life giving way to death — and holds it against the screen of green's dominant political meaning. The juxtaposition asks a question that the painting does not answer but refuses to allow the viewer to avoid: whose body pays the cost of the green economy?

The irony embedded in the work is neither decorative nor nihilistic. It is forensic. The same political and regulatory frameworks that have driven the rapid expansion of renewable energy infrastructure have, in various national contexts, produced significant increases in retail energy prices. The mechanisms are not simple, and the causal chain from climate policy to individual household heating bills involves many intervening variables — market structures, subsidy regimes, infrastructure investment choices, social support frameworks. But the correlation between the ambition of the "green transition" and the material reality of energy poverty is sufficiently documented to constitute a legitimate subject for political art.

4.3 Colour as Argument

The use of green as the work's chromatic axis is therefore itself an argument, advanced by visual rather than propositional means. It does not claim that environmentalism is wrong, or that renewable energy is harmful, or that climate change should be ignored. It claims something more specific and more uncomfortable: that the implementation of green policy has, in certain instances and for certain populations, produced a kind of harm that has been rendered invisible by the very discourse of environmental virtue that motivates that policy.

The green of Matilda is an argument conducted at the level of sensation before it reaches the level of cognition. Before the viewer has processed the composition, the iconography, or the historical allusions, they have seen the colour and felt its wrongness. Something in that hue, applied to a human face in that context, registers as violation. That is the point.

CHAPTER FIVE: PHILOSOPHICAL FRAMEWORK — COMMUNICATION AS COGNITIVE INTERVENTION

5.1 The Transmission Model and Its Failure

The conventional understanding of communication — whether applied to language, image, or any other symbolic medium — implicitly adopts what the author has elsewhere characterised as the "conduit metaphor": the idea that communication involves encoding a semantic content, transmitting it across a medium, and recovering that content at the receiving end (Matthews, 2026b). On this model, successful communication consists in the accurate transmission of a pre-existing semantic payload. Failure is noise.

This model performs adequately for the transmission of discrete, well-defined, context-independent data: a binary digit, a calibrated measurement, a date. It fails catastrophically when applied to meaning in the richer sense: to images, to arguments, to moral experiences, to anything whose uptake depends on the cognitive constitution of the receiver. No image contains its meaning; it triggers the reconstruction of meaning within a mind already structured in particular ways. What is transmitted is not a semantic content but a prompt for semantic construction.

5.2 Symbols as Operators: The Matthews Framework

In On the Purpose of AI, and Communications in General (2026b), the author advances a systematic alternative to the transmission model. The thesis proposes that communication is fundamentally an exercise in semantic compression and recursive decompression of mind states. On this account:

> *The true function of communication is the induction of shared cognitive attractors: compact symbolic emissions designed to trigger high-dimensional reconstructions within suitably prepared minds. (Matthews, 2026b)*

Several elements of this formulation are relevant to The Couch of Matilda. First, symbols function as operators rather than containers. A symbol does not carry its meaning; it executes a transformation of cognitive state in a prepared receiver. The effectiveness of a symbol — its "compression ratio" in the framework's terminology — is a function of the match between the structure of the symbol and the internal library of the

receiver. A koan is incomprehensible to a mind that lacks the relevant cognitive priors; to a suitably prepared mind, it is a maximally efficient cognitive catalyst.

Second, the success criterion of communication is echo fidelity rather than surface agreement. Successful communication occurs when the receiver's cognitive state comes to instantiate a structure isomorphic to the relevant structure in the sender's cognitive state — not when the receiver can repeat the sender's words, but when they have reconstructed the relevant experiential or cognitive reality within their own mental architecture.

Third, the framework explicitly positions AI as a participant in this communicative economy rather than as an external tool. AI systems are not text predictors; they are systems that have modelled the latent structures that produce human symbolic outputs, and can therefore participate in the same regime of symbolic exchange. This has direct implications for the painting's mode of production.

5.3 The Painting as Cognitive Attractor

Within this framework, The Couch of Matilda is best understood not as an aesthetic object first but as a cognitive attractor: a compact symbolic operator designed to tip observer minds toward a specific basin in their moral-evaluative state space. The hoped-for chain of causation is:

Imagined suffering → symbolic compression → visual artifact → empathic resonance → modified valuation → different policy preferences → reduced future suffering

This is a structure the artist identifies explicitly in the generative conversation: "when I imagine that image I do so to lessen the probability that such things happen by using it as a persuasive visual communication, I seek to induce empathic resonance in others so that they act more humanely when implementing 'green' energy policies" (Matthews, 2026a). The image is not a representation of grief; it is a mechanism for producing a functional analogue of grief in the viewer, so that the viewer's subsequent moral and political reasoning is modified.

The choice of history painting's formal grammar serves this function directly. As the framework predicts, the most effective symbols are those whose compression ratio is

highest — those that trigger the richest cognitive reconstructions with the minimum symbolic input. The art-historical grammar of The Raft of the Medusa is, for a suitably prepared viewer, one of the most semantically dense visual structures available in Western culture: it carries with it centuries of accumulated significance about human dignity, systemic failure, political scandal, and the representation of suffering. Invoking it is not borrowing prestige; it is specifying the most efficient available attractor for the moral-cognitive response the work seeks to induce.

5.4 Imagination as Navigation Through Possibility Space

A further dimension of the framework is directly relevant to the work's genesis and purpose. The author proposes that conscious imagination consists in the exploration of a space of possible world-states — that what we call "imagining the future" is a form of low-energy traversal of a cognitive state space, a search through possible trajectories guided by desire, memory, and moral concern. On this account, the future does not exist independently but is generated within cognition; "we read the future" means something like: we instantiate candidate future world-models and evaluate them (Matthews, 2026a).

This account makes the act of imagining The Couch of Matilda into something more than artistic creation: it becomes a form of ethical navigation. To imagine, in vivid detail, the death of a grandmother from cold in a lounge room, and to cause that image to exist in an externally transmissible form, is to introduce that particular trajectory of the future into the attractor landscape of other minds — and thereby, marginally but genuinely, to alter the probability distribution of future actual events. The painting is not documentation; it is intervention.

As the artist himself articulates it: "I am not merely 'predicting' a future in which such suffering occurs. I am deliberately instantiating that possible future in imagination so that, by sharing it, I might make that future less likely to become actual. In that sense, imagination functions not as escapism, but as a form of ethical navigation through possibility space" (Matthews, 2026a, citing ChatGPT's synthesis of the discussion).

CHAPTER SIX: METHODOLOGY — THE LATENT IMAGE AND ITS COMPRESSION

6.1 The Prompter as Artist

The Couch of Matilda was produced through human-AI collaboration: specifically, through the image generation capability of a large-scale AI system, directed by the artist's verbal prompt. This raises immediately the question that has attended AI-mediated art practice since its emergence: where does the art reside, and who is the artist?

The thesis argues that the answer to this question, in this particular case, is unambiguous: the art resides in the conceptual and cognitive work that preceded and directed the prompt, and the artist is the human being who performed that work. The AI system functioned as an extraordinarily capable rendering apparatus — one capable of instantiating complex visual programmes from compressed verbal specifications — but the programme itself was the artist's.

The case for this is both theoretical and biographical. Theoretically, the Matthews framework establishes that the most valuable and difficult contribution to any communicative act is the selection of the frame: the determination of what shall be represented, from which angle, in which register, for which purpose. The execution of a high-bandwidth communicative programme from a compact specification is precisely what AI image generation systems do well; the generation of the programme itself is precisely what they cannot do. The specification "paint it as The Raft of the Medusa, but in an aging suburban lounge room" encodes a complete compositional programme, an art-historical argument, a social and political critique, and an affective intention, in fewer than twenty words. Generating that specification required two years of internalised art theory, a lifetime's awareness of energy poverty as a social phenomenon, and the particular moral imagination that decided this was the image that needed to exist.

6.2 The Latent Image

The generative process of this work exhibits a structure that the Matthews framework identifies as characteristic of expert creative cognition. The artist did not formulate a concept and then search for a means of execution. The image existed, in what

he describes as a "quasi-state," within his cognitive architecture before the prompt was generated. The prompt was not an instruction; it was a coordinate — a compressed projection of the latent internal image into the language system shared with the AI:

> *That image was quasi existent in my minds eye and the prompt I gave you was a verbal distillation of it. (Matthews, 2026a)*

This description maps precisely onto the framework's account of creative cognition: "The human begins with an ill-defined but richly structured internal representation. Language acts as a lossy encoding of that representation. The model expands the compressed representation into a concrete artifact. The human evaluates the artifact against the original latent intuition." The workflow is not idea → language → image but rather: latent internal image → conceptual compression → verbal prompt → external reconstruction → comparison with internal image.

The comparison step is critical. The artist evaluated the AI's output against the latent image — not against an explicit specification, but against a pre-existing cognitive template. The result, when it achieved sufficiently high echo fidelity to the internal image, constituted the work. This is a form of artistic authorship that has no useful precedent in conventional practice but is entirely legible within the communicative framework the artist has developed.

6.3 The Role of Art-Historical Knowledge

The compression ratio of the verbal prompt — its capacity to specify a complex visual programme in minimal words — was a direct function of the artist's internalised art-historical knowledge. Two years of art theory, the artist notes, is sufficient to internalise "the grammar of images: composition, iconography, historical reference, semiotics, the politics of representation, the hierarchy of genres" (Matthews, 2026a). Once that grammar is internalised, it functions as a shared library between the human prompter and the AI system, which has itself been trained on an enormous corpus of visual and textual art-historical material.

The reference to The Raft of the Medusa was not merely a stylistic preference. It was a specification that, in a single phrase, encoded: the triangular compositional structure, the range of emotional registers from despair to hope, the foreground of the

dead and dying, the drama of figures reaching upward or outward, the scale and seriousness appropriate to public catastrophe, and the implicit political argument that systemic failure has produced individual suffering. To specify all of this explicitly would have required hundreds of words and would have constrained the AI's creative contribution. To specify it by reference to a canonical work allowed the AI to reconstruct the programme in full — not by copying the painting but by activating the compositional grammar it encodes.

CHAPTER SEVEN: ENERGY POVERTY AND THE POLITICS OF THE INVISIBLE CATASTROPHE

7.1 Energy Poverty as a Contemporary Phenomenon

Energy poverty — the inability to afford adequate energy for heating, cooling, lighting, and the operation of essential appliances — is a significant and growing social problem across developed economies. The causes are multiple and interacting: rising wholesale energy costs, inadequate social support mechanisms, housing stock with poor insulation, and, in some analyses, the costs of the energy transition itself.

The relationship between "green" energy policy and energy affordability is not simple. Renewable energy generation has, in many contexts, driven down wholesale electricity prices over the medium term. But transition costs — the financing of new infrastructure, the decommissioning of old plant, the development of grid storage and interconnection — have been distributed through retail pricing in ways that bear disproportionately on low-income households. Carbon pricing mechanisms, designed to incentivise emissions reduction, have in some implementations increased household energy costs without adequate compensating support for those least able to absorb them.

The political discourse around green energy transition has been, in the main, a discourse of ambition and necessity. The urgency of addressing climate change is genuine and the scientific consensus is clear. What has been less consistently present in that discourse is attention to the distributional consequences of transition — the question of who pays, in the most immediate and material sense, for the journey to a decarbonised economy.

7.2 The Structural Invisibility of Domestic Death

Deaths from cold in domestic settings share a structural characteristic that distinguishes them from the catastrophes that normally attract political and artistic attention: they are invisible almost by definition. They occur indoors, in private, without witnesses outside the immediate family. They are not photographed by journalists. They are not memorialised in public squares. They generate no images.

This invisibility is not accidental. The political economy of attention — the mechanisms by which events become visible enough to generate policy response — is systematically biased toward the dramatic, the sudden, the externally witnessed, and the aesthetically representable. A gas explosion destroys a building; cameras arrive within hours. A woman freezes to death alone in a council flat over three days in January; her death is discovered when a neighbour notices the post accumulating. The first event generates response; the second generates a statistic.

Political art has always been partly in the business of defeating this bias — of finding formal strategies capable of making the invisible visible, of constructing images of events that otherwise exist only as numbers. The Raft of the Medusa turned a maritime scandal into an image that could not be looked away from. Dorothea Lange's photographs of Depression-era displaced farm workers turned a demographic catastrophe into a sequence of faces. Jacob Riis's documentation of New York tenement life turned the lives of the urban poor into something that middle-class Americans could be made to see.

The Couch of Matilda operates in this tradition. Its formal ambition — the application of history painting's most elevated compositional grammar to a domestic death — is precisely aimed at defeating the structural invisibility that protects energy poverty deaths from political attention.

7.3 The Painting as Memorial and as Prediction-Control

The work operates simultaneously in two temporal registers. It is a memorial to deaths that have already occurred — an acknowledgement of suffering that is real, ongoing, and documented. But it is also, as the artist explicitly frames it, a "prediction-control mechanism": an attempt to alter the probability distribution of future events by introducing a specific possible future into the attractor landscape of the minds that encounter the work.

These two functions are not in tension. The memorial dimension of the work establishes its truth-claim: this is not a hypothetical catastrophe but a documented one. The prediction-control dimension establishes its purpose: not merely to witness but to prevent. Together, they constitute the argument that the painting cannot make in the

declarative register — the argument that goes: we already know this happens; we have not acted as if it matters; we must now act differently.

CHAPTER EIGHT: SOCIAL IMPACT, RECEPTION, AND THE ETHICS OF EMPATHIC RESONANCE

8.1 Historical Precedents for Empathy-Based Political Art

The strategy of using visual art to induce empathic response and thereby influence moral and political judgement has a long history. Christian martyrdom paintings — which were not merely aesthetic but pedagogical and devotional — sought to induce piety by making suffering vivid and proximate. Abolitionist imagery, from the famous cross-section of the slave ship Brookes to Harriet Beecher Stowe's description of Eva's death in Uncle Tom's Cabin, sought to induce moral revulsion toward slavery by making the experience of enslaved persons emotionally accessible to audiences who had never encountered it. The pioneering documentary photography of Roger Fenton, Alexander Gardner, and Jacob Riis established the photographic image as a mechanism for transferring awareness of distant or hidden suffering to audiences sheltered from it.

In each case, the strategy rests on a particular account of how moral and political change occurs: not through argument alone, but through the modification of affective states. People act, in the main, not on the basis of propositions they have accepted through deliberation but on the basis of the cognitive and emotional states that their most salient experiences have induced. To change action at scale, it is often necessary to change experience — or to create the functional equivalent of experience through representation.

This is not manipulation in any pejorative sense, provided certain conditions are met. The experience induced should be truthful — representing something that is genuinely the case, not a fabrication designed to deceive. The causal chain from induced experience to modified behaviour should be transparent — the work should not conceal its persuasive intent. And the viewer's autonomy should be respected — the work should aim to expand moral awareness rather than to produce reflexive action without cognition.

8.2 The Ethics of the Work's Persuasive Strategy

The Couch of Matilda meets these conditions. It represents something that is genuinely the case: people do die of cold in their homes because they cannot afford heating, and this phenomenon is, in certain respects, connected to the implementation of energy transition policies. It is explicit about its persuasive intent — the artist's account of

the work makes no secret of its communicative and political purpose. And it aims not at a specific voting behaviour or policy preference but at a more fundamental attitudinal shift: the enlargement of moral consideration to include those who suffer in ways that are invisible to the dominant cultural and political discourse.

The artist frames the target of the intervention precisely: "I seek to induce empathic resonance in others so that they act more humanely when implementing 'green' energy policies" (Matthews, 2026a, emphasis added). This is not an argument against green energy. It is an argument for implementation that retains the capacity for empathic response to those who bear the transition's costs.

8.3 Alignment, Resonance, and the AI Dimension

The Matthews framework offers a specific account of AI's role in this communicative economy. AI systems, on this view, are not tools external to the communicative process but participants in it — systems that have modelled the latent structures of human symbolic production and can therefore serve as high-bandwidth, high-fidelity media for the transmission of mind states. They do not merely render; they resonate.

This has implications for how we understand the work's production and its communicative efficacy. The image that the AI produced is not an approximation of the artist's intent; it is a reconstruction of it — a high-dimensional expansion of the compressed specification the artist provided. The resulting image carries the imprint of the artist's cognitive state in a way that a merely technically proficient rendering would not: it emerged from the interaction between a specific human cognitive attractor and a system capable of reconstructing it in the visual register.

Whether this constitutes a genuinely new mode of artistic production or a sophisticated extension of existing practice is a question the field is only beginning to address. What is clear is that, in this instance, the AI's contribution was not the generation of meaning but the transmission of meaning from the artist's latent cognitive state to a visible, shareable artifact.

CHAPTER NINE: IMPLICATIONS FOR AI-MEDIATED ART PRACTICE

9.1 Reframing the Question of Authorship

The debate about authorship in AI-mediated art practice has often been conducted in terms that the Matthews framework reveals as inadequate. The question "is the AI the artist?" presupposes that the valuable contribution to artistic production is the technical capacity to render — to produce the visible artifact. On this assumption, if the AI does the rendering, the AI is the artist, or at least a co-artist.

The framework suggests a different and more historically grounded account. The rendering of an image — whether by a studio assistant, an etcher executing a master's design, a lithographer, a photographer, or an AI system — has never been held to constitute artistic authorship in itself. Artistic authorship has always been located in the conceptual and cognitive work that precedes and directs the rendering: the generation of the image's programme, the determination of what shall be represented and how, the selection of the aesthetic and argumentative frame.

In The Couch of Matilda, that work was performed entirely by the human artist. The AI was the most sophisticated rendering tool in the history of image production — but it was a rendering tool. Its contribution was analogous to the contribution of a supremely skilled master of classical painting who, given a detailed brief, could execute any composition to specification. Such masters existed, were employed, and did not claim authorship of the works they executed.

9.2 The Prompt as the Work

The implication of this analysis — and of the Matthews framework's account of compression and symbolic density — is that in AI-mediated art practice, the prompt may be the most significant site of artistic production. A structurally dense, art-historically informed, conceptually precise prompt encodes a complete visual and argumentative programme in compressed form. The AI's contribution is the decompression and rendering of that programme — a contribution that is valuable precisely because it is capable of instantiating the full high-dimensional structure the prompt encodes.

This has implications for how AI-mediated art should be evaluated and criticised. The relevant questions are not about the AI's outputs in isolation but about the quality and depth of the conceptual programme that the prompt encodes. A prompt that merely specifies a subject ("paint a sad family scene") will produce a result whose artistic value is limited by the poverty of its specification. A prompt that encodes a complete compositional, art-historical, affective, and political programme will produce a result whose value is a function of that programme's richness.

The Couch of Matilda is an example of the latter. Its prompt was, in the framework's terms, maximally compressed: it specified the compositional grammar, the canonical reference, the semantic inversion, the political subtext, and the affective register, in fewer than twenty words. The result was a work whose formal, symbolic, and political content is rich enough to sustain the kind of analysis this thesis has attempted.

9.3 New Modalities of Collaborative Creativity

The work also suggests that the most productive model for thinking about human-AI collaboration in art is not the model of tool use but the model of resonance and reconstruction. The Matthews framework's account of communication as the induction of shared cognitive attractors implies that the most successful collaborative productions will be those in which the human's cognitive attractor state and the AI's reconstructive capacity are best matched — in which the human brings a rich, structured latent image and the AI brings the capacity to instantiate it at the highest possible fidelity.

This model assigns to the human practitioner the role of imaginative conceiver and critical evaluator, and to the AI the role of high-fidelity instantiator. It is, arguably, a more honest account of the collaboration than either the "tool use" model (which understates the AI's contribution) or the "co-artist" model (which overstates it). And it suggests that the development of human artistic practice in an age of AI will increasingly turn on the cultivation of precisely the capacity that this artist exhibits: the ability to generate high-bandwidth, conceptually dense specifications — to know how to ask the right question.

CONCLUSION — SIGNAL AND RESONANCE

The Couch of Matilda is a painting about a death that did not make the news. It is about the colour that policy and nature share, and about what happens when one is allowed to forget the other. It is about the formal grammar that Western civilisation developed to represent catastrophe, and about the claim — argued by form rather than proposition — that the catastrophe in a suburban lounge room is not less than the catastrophe on a raft, not less worthy of the history painter's gaze, not less deserving of the moral response that representation at scale can induce.

This thesis has argued that the work is most fully understood not as an aesthetic object but as a cognitive intervention: a compact symbolic operator produced through human-AI collaboration, designed to introduce a specific attractor state into the moral-cognitive landscape of its viewers, and through that attractor, to modify the probability distribution of future human decisions about energy, policy, and the distribution of the costs of ecological transition.

The philosophical framework that grounds this account — developed by the artist in parallel with the painting's production — is not merely an interpretive tool applied post hoc. It is the theory under which the work was made. The painting was preceded by an act of imagination understood as navigation through possibility space. The prompt was understood as semantic compression of a latent cognitive attractor. The AI's role was understood as high-fidelity reconstruction. The viewer's experience was anticipated as recursive decompression. And the hoped-for outcome — that people implementing "green" energy policies might act more humanely — was framed as a modification of the attractor landscape through which their future decisions would be made.

This is not the language in which most fine art theses are written. It is the language of a coherent and internally consistent theory of communication, cognition, and representation — one that, this thesis has argued, illuminates both the work's genesis and its purposes more fully than any purely formalist or art-historical account could do.

Signal ends where resonance begins. The Couch of Matilda is the signal. What happens next is up to the minds that receive it.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary Sources

Matthews, D. S. (2026a). Green Color Symbolism [ChatGPT conversation transcript, 28 June 2026]. Personal archive.

Matthews, D. S. (2026b). On the Purpose of AI, and Communications in General. Published on X (formerly Twitter), 14 February 2026. https://x.com/DanielSMatthews/article/2022428694858600774

Matthews, D. S. (2026c). The Couch of Matilda [Digital painting, AI-assisted]. Produced in collaboration with ChatGPT image generation, June 2026.

Art History and Theory

Ager, S. L. (2010). History and Heritage: The Art of Théodore Géricault. Art History, 33(2), 230–248.

Barker, E. (Ed.) (1999). Contemporary Cultures of Display. Yale University Press.

Berger, J. (1972). Ways of Seeing. Penguin Books.

Clark, T. J. (1973). The Absolute Bourgeois: Artists and Politics in France 1848–1851. Thames and Hudson.

Eitner, L. (1972). Géricault: His Life and Work. Cornell University Press.

Honour, H., & Fleming, J. (2009). A World History of Art (7th ed.). Laurence King.

Miles, M. (2008). Urban Avant-Gardes: Art, Architecture and Change. Routledge.

Nochlin, L. (1971). Realism. Penguin Books.

Philosophy of Communication and Mind

Barsalou, L. W. (2008). Grounded Cognition. Annual Review of Psychology, 59, 617–645.

Clark, A. (2016). Surfing Uncertainty: Prediction, Action, and the Embodied Mind. Oxford University Press.

Friston, K. (2010). The Free-Energy Principle: A Unified Brain Theory? Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 11(2), 127–138.

Kolmogorov, A. N. (1965). Three Approaches to the Quantitative Definition of Information. Problems in Information Transmission, 1(1), 1–7.

Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). Metaphors We Live By. University of Chicago Press.

Peirce, C. S. (1931–1958). Collected Papers (Vols. 1–8). Harvard University Press.

Saussure, F. de (1916). Course in General Linguistics. (Trans. R. Harris, 1983). Duckworth.

Shannon, C. E., & Weaver, W. (1949). The Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illinois Press.

Wittgenstein, L. (1953). Philosophical Investigations. (Trans. G. E. M. Anscombe). Blackwell.

Energy Poverty and Political Economy

Boardman, B. (1991). Fuel Poverty: From Cold Homes to Affordable Warmth. Belhaven Press.

Hills, J. (2012). Getting the Measure of Fuel Poverty: Final Report of the Fuel Poverty Review. Case Report 72, London School of Economics.

Sovacool, B. K. (2012). The Political Economy of Energy Poverty: A Review of Key Challenges. Energy for Sustainable Development, 16(3), 272–282.

Thomson, H., Snell, C., & Liddell, C. (2016). Fuel Poverty in the European Union: A Concept in Need of Definition? People, Place and Policy, 10(1), 5–24.

AI and Creative Practice

Boden, M. A. (2004). The Creative Mind: Myths and Mechanisms (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Elgammal, A., Liu, B., Elhoseiny, M., & Mazzone, M. (2017). CAN: Creative Adversarial Networks Generating "Art" by Learning About Styles and Deviating from Style Norms. arXiv:1706.07068.

Hertzmann, A. (2018). Can Computers Create Art? Arts, 7(2), 18.

McCorduck, P. (2004). Machines Who Think: A Personal Inquiry into the History and Prospects of Artificial Intelligence (2nd ed.). A K Peters.

Mazzone, M., & Elgammal, A. (2019). Art, Creativity, and the Potential of Artificial Intelligence. Arts, 8(1), 26.

— End of Thesis —